Unified Patent Court Einheitliches Patentgericht Juridiction unifiée du brevet

App_561742/2023 to UPC_CFI_15/2023 final decision on application for extension of deadline of the Court of First Instance of the Unified Patent Court from 08/23/2023

PRINCIPLE:

1. An intended harmonization of the time limit regime as such does not constitute a reason for an extension of the time limit that runs for the litigant who was successfully served at an earlier point in time. Rather, an alignment can also be achieved by shortening the period that runs for the party in dispute who was only served at a later point in time.

2. Working with the new procedural law and the case management system (CMS) poses significant challenges for everyone involved. Therefore, in the early stages, it is necessary to deal with the challenges that arise in a practical way.

KEYWORDS: Application for extension of deadline, adjustment of deadlines for disputants, Shortened deadlines, discretion, challenges in the early days

REFERENCE CODE ECLI: ...

applicant

1) Meril GmbH Represented by (applicant) Dr Andreas von Falck - Bornheimer Straße 135-137 - 53119 - Bonn -EN

parties

1)	Edwards Life Sciences Corporation	Represented by
	(plaintiff)	Elsa Tzschoppe
	- 1 Edwards Way - 92614 - Irvine - US	

2)	Meril GmbH	Service of action on 07/07/2023	
	(Defendant 1)		
	- Bornheimer Straße 135-137 - 53119 - Bonn -	Represented by	
	EN	Dr Andreas von Falck	

3)	Meril Life Sciences Pvt Ltd.	Service of action on 01/08/2023
	(Defendant 2)	
	- M1-M2, Meril Park, Survey No 135/2/B &	Represented by
	174/2 Muktanand Marg, Chala, Vapi - 396 191	Dr Andreas von Falck
	Gujarat - Vapi - IN	

Patent in suit

Patent No.	owner
EP3646825	Edwards Life Sciences Corporation

REPORTER

Presiding Judge

Matthias Zigann

LANGUAGE OF THE PROCEDURE: German

APPLICATION FROM APPLICANT 1 (= DEFENDANT 1) DATED August 14, 2023

An application is made to extend the deadline for filing a defense in accordance with Rule 23 VerfO until November 1, 2023 (Rule 9.3 lit. a) VerfO).

THE RESPONDENT (= PLAINTIFF)

agrees to the request for an extension of the deadline (written statement dated August 22, 2023).

FACTS

Following the -successful - application to extend the objection period (cf.

App_557291/2023 UPC_CFI_15/2023), the applicant (defendant 1) is now requesting an extension of the deadline for filing a complaint. This would be in line with the deadline for the second defendant to file a response.

The respondent (= plaintiff) has agreed to the request for an extension of the deadline.

REASONS

As in the order dated August 1, 2023 on App_557291/2023 UPC_CFI_15/2023 established, the reasons put forward generally do not justify an extension of the deadline. In particular, an alignment of the time limit regime as such is not a reason for an extension of the time limit that runs for the party in dispute who was successfully served at an earlier point in time. Rather, an alignment can also be achieved by shortening the period that runs for the party in dispute who was only served at a later point in time.

However, it should be noted once again that working with the new procedural law and the case management system (CMS) poses significant challenges for everyone involved. Therefore, in the early stages, it is necessary to deal with the challenges that arise in a practical way. The rapporteur therefore exercises the discretion granted by the Rules of Procedure to exceptionally grant the request. In addition, the respondent (= plaintiff) agreed to the application for an extension of the deadline. Since November 1st, 2023 is a public holiday in Bavaria, the extension must be announced until November 2nd, 2023.

DISPOSAL

- 1. The deadline for filing a statement of claim for applicant 1) (= defendant 1) is until extended to November 2, 2023.
- 2. For defendant 2, the deadline for filing a defense expires on November 2, 2023 (Rule 301 No. 1 VerfO).

DR. ZIGANN PRESIDING JUDGE AND RAPPORTER